

## THE US SUPREME COURT & HAMER'S GENETIC STUDY

by

Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D.

Scientists had unsuccessfully searched for genetic causes for bi and homosexuality since the turn of the century. Lo, in two short years scientists everywhere have "rigorous" studies suggesting bi/homosexual immutability. In 1991, *Science* published LaVay's "brain" study. LaVay found brain differences in homosexuals versus maybe (he thinks) dead heterosexuals. Next, in 1991 *The New York Times* reported by Bailey/Pillard's discovery of 14% to 22% of homosexuality in twins (reared in the same home!). Strange, the researchers never addressed early sex abuse or neglect. In 1992 Allen and Gorski opened cadavers and found a bigger brain bit for homosexual men than heterosexuals. By 1993 Bailey and Pillard had found identical twins more likely to be lesbians than fraternal or adopted girls<sup>1</sup> while still ignoring data on early sex abuse. This would be critical in any sex study, especially among twins--they seem to have a high incest victimization rate. Writing in *The Journal of Sex Research* (February 1987), famed Kinseyan sex expert John Gagnon told sex researchers to hide such compromising data in service of the "gay right."

*[Do not collect data which would] locate the origins of [homosexual] desires.... Attempts to placate the oppressors will only invite further persecution. The source of freedom in everyday life for gay men and lesbians is continued vigilance and practical political action (p. 124).*

In any study on AIDS or homosexuality, "the origins of [homosexual] desires" is critical. *To purge questions on adult and incestuous rape of young boys--as Gagnon and the others did, documents both knowledge and fear of the findings.* On that note, July 16 *Science* published a report by Dean Hamer of the National Cancer Institute, "to determine whether or not male sexual orientation is genetically influenced" (:321). The Hamer team studied 40 apparently activist homosexual brother pairs ("[p]articipants of the study were recruited through the Whitman-Walker Clinic, the National Institute of Health's outpatient HIV clinic, Gay organizations and ads in Gay publications").<sup>2</sup> Standing on the authority of the discredited Kinsey data, Hamer's "Kinsey score" was displayed in four *Science* tables. Hamer states the research protocol involved:

an interview or questionnaire covering childhood gender identification, childhood and adolescent sexual development, adult sexual behavior, the Kinsey scales, handedness, alcohol and substance use, mental health history, medical genetics screen, HIV status, and demographics.... Sexual orientation was assessed by the Kinsey scales which range from 0 for exclusive heterosexuality to 6 for exclusive homosexuality.... self identified as either Kinsey 5 or 6.... either less than Kinsey 2 or more than Kinsey 4.... Kinsey scores in males have been reported by others (321-322).

Since the protocol asked about "childhood and adolescent development" Hamer should have reported critical findings on early sex abuse for the 40 brother-pairs. If the child sex abuse literature finds roughly 1 in 7 (14%) of boys have sustained molestation,<sup>1</sup> Hamer should have some of these boys in his cohort. But, not only does Hamer sanitize his abuse data, he typically "blames the [child] victim," by charging 5-10-year-olds with 'looking for it (homosexual sex)' so to speak. For, Hamer says "most" of the brothers were "attracted to another male" by age 10. Many working in the fields of child development and of child abuse could see here a shocking admission that "most" of Hamer's brother cohort were early sex abuse victims. Especially revealing is Hammer's failure to say if the children were "attracted" to older brother, uncle, dad, and whether sex occurred.

Most of the subjects experienced their first same-sex attraction by age 10, which was prior to the average age of puberty at 12 years...Self-acknowledgement occurred over a broad range of ages between 5 and 30 years, with the greatest increase occurring between years 11 and 19. The mean age for public acknowledgement was 21 years, which is similar to the age for "coming out" reported by others (:322).

Streams of chromosome drawings and pages of locus-and-label data do not cover up the use of fraudulent data (Kinsey) as well as what some researchers might arguably call a pederast<sup>4</sup> view of children. Although Hamer, unlike others, admits he asked the brothers about their early abuse or neglect, the answers create sexual tykes. Anthony Falzarano of Transformation Exgay Ministries recently confirmed the incest and abuse studies, finding 85% admissions of early sexual molestation among gays in recovery.<sup>5</sup> Victimized boys consistently blame themselves ("I must have wanted it--I must be gay") for their own abuse.<sup>6</sup>

The tainted nature of Hamer's study is added to by the gay right admission that these studies are strategically important to the movement. Says a homosexual spokesman for *The Washington Blade*:<sup>7</sup>

If the polls are accurate, support for our cause will increase as people learn that the stereotype of 'choice' is inaccurate.... Homosexuality is not the problem. Bigotry is. And perhaps we should do some genetic testing to find the biological basis for prejudice (15).

As with Kinsey, sex research findings frequently reflect the scientist's intimate plan for society. Hence, Hamer's "References And Notes" brazenly censure the massive body of homosexual research identifying a neglect/abuse etiology citing the pro-homosexual research - even the invalid and fraudulent Kinsey data-- as authority. Moreover, in *Science*, Hamer uses the wholly discredited "Kinsey scale"(:322) to have the brothers:

rate their fathers, sons, brothers, uncles, and male cousins as either definitely homosexual (Kinsey 5 or 6, acknowledged to the proband or another family member) or *not* definitely know to be homosexual (heterosexual, bisexual or unclear)[(emphasis added)].

Hamer claims a rigorous follow-up schedule for these claims, unverifiable unless one has access to the entire population and is able to visit and interview the same cohort using the same questionnaire protocol, etc. Many critics view the newest homosexual gene studies on the scene as the beginning of an avalanche of pseudo science sex data designed to prove whatever is the current homosexuality ideology. After over half-a-century of covering up the visibly false and criminal sex data of sex researcher pioneers, Alfred Kinsey et al, will the genetic sex pioneers further change law and public policy?

The North American Man Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) has always marched in Gay Right parades. In this light, Hamer's systematic use of the discredited child sex abuse Kinsey data and his casual disregard for the etiology of early sex abuse as causal in homosexual conduct is ominous. Hamer suppresses the role of child sexual abuse in his findings. Earlier in *Gay and Lesbian Youth*, Dr. Douglas Feldman suppressed the role of such abuse and adult recruitment in giving AIDS to "one in four" boys he labels "gay teenagers." Largely infected by older men, youths these boys "tend to be very susceptible to sexually transmitted diseases" says Feldman. Yet, he continues to urge youngsters to try homosexuality--with someone older.

In our recent analysis of *THE ADVOCATE*, the homosexual mainstream newsmagazine, solicitation of youths for sex was found to be both common and accepted. As homosexuals carry *Heather Has Two Mommies* and *Daddy's Roommate*, into the first grade, parents had best understand their children are at risk. Child molesters are represented in every socioeconomic group. Hamer's recent "genetic" research embarrassingly illustrates child sexual exploitation in the service of the gay right. It is past time for a congressional investigation of what is called "sex science," its practitioners and its impact on law and public policy. Such an investigation could be crucial to establishing proper "science" guidelines as demanded recently by the U.S. Supreme Court.

1436 words

1. *The Washington Times*, July 20, 1993 (A1): "Mother's genes called determine of homosexuality" -- a review of five studies since 1991 that identified possible biological explanations for sexual orientation.
2. *The Washington Blade*, July 16, 1993, p. 15.
3. See Reisman, *Soft Porn Plays Hardball*, (1991), "Whatever Happened to Childhood" pp.138-159, especially for the role of in-house pornography in eroticizing the children in the home to each other, the adults, and to themselves--precipitating homosexual and heterosexual "experimentation" among children and subsequent dysfunction among some number of this population.
4. *Ibid*, p. 322. A pederast is a male who seeks sex with boys--teens and younger.
5. Personal interview July 21, 1993, Washington, D.C.

6. A major problem for recovering abuse victims is their sense of guilt at having solicited the sexual conduct with the older juvenile or adult. In this case, as in issues of mental and emotional impairment, it would be easier for women to admit to heterosexual abuse than for men to face their homosexual assaults as children. This would be the case especially in incestuous abuse--typically pornography triggered abuse of younger brothers occurs in which boys act out sexually on younger siblings--resulting in various sexual and emotional dysfunctions for numbers of girls and homosexual acting out for numbers of boys.

7. *The Washington Blade*, July 16, 1993.